

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/665,260	Applicant(s) ITO, KIICHIRO	
	Examiner Ruth C. Rodriguez	Art Unit 3677	

All Participants:

(1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO.

(2) Scott Tulino, Applicant's Representative.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2008

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

2,5,6,12,13 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Mr. Tulino to explain that claims 2, 5, 6, 12, 13 and 16 that were inadvertently canceled under the amendment filed on 21 November 2007 cannot have the same claim numbering. These claims need to be presented as new claims. The Examiner propose making these changes under an Examiner's amendment. Mr. Tulino approved this change. Both parties agree to make these changes through an Examiner's amendment. in order to place the application in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

